arXiv:1210.5864v1 [math-ph] 22 Oct 2012

Constant curvature solutions of Grassmannian sigma models: (2) Non-holomorphic solutions

L. Delisle[1,4], V. Hussin[1,2,5] and W. J. Zakrzewski[3,6]

October 23, 2012

Abstract

We discuss here classical solutions of Grassmannian models. We present some expressions describing these solutions and discuss how to use these results to construct solutions of constant curvature. We also discuss values of this constant curvature.

Key words: Sigma models.
PACS numbers: 02.40.Hw, 02.10.Ud, 02.10.Yn, 02.30.Ik

1 Introduction

Recently, we have presented an expression for the Gaussian curvature of holomorphic immersions into complex Grassmannian manifolds $G(m,n)$ [1]. In this case $G(m,n)$ was described by a $n\times m$ complex matrix Z which satisfied

$$Z^\dagger Z = \mathbb{I}_m, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbb{I}_m$ is the $m\times m$ unit matrix and as usual the symbol † denotes Hermitian conjugation.

In our previous paper [1], we parametrized the Z matrix in the following way. We introduced $\tilde{Z}$ a holomorphic $n\times m$ matrix obtained from a set a linearly independent holomorphic vectors $f_1,\dots,f_m$ and $\tilde{L}$ a $m\times m$ matrix such that:

$$Z = \tilde{Z}\tilde{L}, \tag{2}$$

This parametrization was called orthogonalized parametrization. Then due to (1) we have defined a new matrix $\tilde{M}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{M} = (\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^\dagger)^{-1} = \tilde{Z}^\dagger\tilde{Z}. \tag{3}$$

We thus showed that the energy density $\mathcal{L}$ of this holomorphic immersion is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(Z) = \frac{1}{2}\,\partial_+\partial_-\ln\det\tilde{M} \tag{4}$$

where the partial derivatives are such that $\partial_\pm = \partial_{x_\pm}$. The associated curvature of this immersion is [2]

$$\mathcal{K}(Z) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(Z)}\,\partial_+\partial_-\ln\mathcal{L}(Z). \tag{5}$$

---

[1] Département de Mathématiques et de Statistique, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal (Québec) H3C 3J7, Canada.

[2] Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal (Québec) H3C 3J7, Canada.

[3] Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom.

[4] email:delisle@dms.umontreal.ca

[5] email:hussin@dms.umontreal.ca

[6] email:w.j.zakrzewski@durham.ac.uk

So the whole discussion of determining the admissible constant curvature holomorphic solutions of Grassmannian manifolds $G(m,n)$ was reduced to having to find all possible holomorphic matrices $\tilde{Z}$ and the corresponding curvatures that satisfy

$$\det \tilde{M} \propto (1+|x|^2)^r, \tag{6}$$

where the positive integer r is related to the curvature by

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{4}{r}. \tag{7}$$

In our previous paper [1], we have also conjectured that, for m fixed, holomorphic solutions with constant curvature in $G(m,n)$ can be constructed for all integer values of r such that

$$1 \leq r \leq r_{h,\max}(m,n) = m(n-m). \tag{8}$$

In this paper, we go further and look at other solutions of the Grassmannian model, the ones which are non-holomorphic. This describes the ones for which $\tilde{Z}$ is not constructed out of holomorphic vectors and for which the simplified formula (4) is no longer valid. There are several papers in which such solutions have already been studied. Some early papers are explicit in the construction of these solutions [3], some more recent ones [4] are more general but less explicit. Our discussion will provide explicit formulae for some of these solutions and then we will concentrate our attention on studying solutions of constant curvature. In this we will rely heavily on Veronese curves and we will show that the admissible values of r in the expression (7) of the curvature follow an explicit rule and that they are greater than $m(n-m)$, the maximal value for the holomorphic case, as given in (8).

Section 2 presents a general discussion of solutions of the Grassmannian models. First we look at the simplest model, namely $G(1,n) = \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, and, for completeness, we recall the general way of constructing the solutions. We also discuss some properties of these solutions. This is then used in the Section 3 to discuss solutions of more complicated Grassmannian models. First we show how many properties of the $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ solutions generalise to this case and then show how this discussion can be used to classify all solutions of constant curvature.

We finish the paper with a short summary of our results and some conclusions.

2 Grassmanian models

2.1 General discussion

General maps of S^2 into a Grassmaniann manifold $G(m,n)$, $n > m$ are given by $n \times m$ complex matrices Z subject to the constraint (1). Under global $V \in U(n)$ and local $U \in U(m)$ transformations these maps transform as

$$Z \to VZU. \tag{9}$$

Minimal immersions are obtained by minimizing the energy functional

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4}\int_\Omega \mathcal{L}(Z)\; dx_+ dx_-. \tag{10}$$

Here, $x_\pm = x \pm iy$ are local coordinates in Ω, an open, connected subset of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(Z) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left[(D_+Z)^\dagger D_+Z + (D_-Z)^\dagger D_-Z\right], \tag{11}$$

where $D_\pm$ denote covariant derivatives acting on $Z : \Omega \to G(m,n)$ and are defined by

$$D_\pm Z = \partial_\pm Z - ZZ^\dagger \partial_\pm Z. \tag{12}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations corresponding to (11) take the form:

$$D_+D_-Z + Z(D_-Z)^\dagger D_-Z = 0. \tag{13}$$

2.2 Classical Solutions

A construction of a large classe of classical solutions of the Grassmannian models $G(m,n)$ is well known (see *e.g.* [5]). This construction gives all solutions in the $G(1,n)$ case. For $G(m,n)$ with $m>1$, situation is less clear but most (if not all) solutions can be constructed using the approach discussed in [5]. In any case, only such solutions have so far been looked at in any detail and in this paper we restrict our attention to using them and studying their properties.

A possible way to find these solutions is to start with a set of holomorphic vectors $f_1, \cdots, f_k$ (*i.e.* functions of x_+). Here k can be any integer up to $n-1$ (note that $n>m$). Then one considers another set obtained from this set by taking derivatives *i.e.* $\partial_+ f_1, \cdots, \partial_+ f_k$ and $\partial_+^2 f_1, \cdots, \partial_+^2 f_k$. One then constructs a matrix whose columns are the first set, then the next one and so on. Next, we use the Gram Schmidt process to orthogonalise all these vectors.

Then we can take any set of m vectors from these orthogonalised vectors and take them as our matrix $\tilde{Z}$. The corresponding Z will solve the Euler-Lagrange equation and thus will define a solution of the Grassmannian model $G(m,n)$. If the original vectors $f_1, \cdots f_k$ are all polynomial in x_+ then this solution will describe an immersion of S^2 into $G(m,n)$.

Let us mention here a few classes of such solutions:

- We take m holomorphic polynomial vectors (*i.e.* $k=m$). In this case we have a holomorphic solution. An example of such a case has been given in [6].
- We start with one function (f) only (*i.e.* k=1). Then our construction will be equivalent to defining the operator P_+ as

  $$P_+ : f \in \mathbb{C}^N \to P_+ f = \partial_+ f - \frac{f^\dagger \partial_+ f}{|f|^2} f \qquad (14)$$

  and then applying it up to $n-1$ times to f and to the new vectors obtained from it, *i.e.*

  $$P_+^i f \;=\; P_+(P_+^{i-1} f). \qquad (15)$$

  A solution will involve taking for Z any m vectors from the orthonormalized set $(\frac{f}{|f|}, \frac{P_+ f}{|P_+ f|}, \cdots, \frac{P_+^{n-1} f}{|P_+^{n-1} f|})$. In particular, we can take the first m of them. In this case, the solution is holomorphic. Or we can start taking, say, $(\frac{f}{|f|}, \frac{P_+^2 f}{|P_+^2 f|}, \cdots)$. The solution is thus harmonic (but non-holomorphic) of course the last vector of this series describes an antiholomorphic solution.

In fact there are many more harmonic solutions than those described by our procedure given above. Thus we could 'miss out' some vectors from our original set or interchange them. In those cases, there are some conditions that the vectors have to satisfy in order that the final matrix Z solves the equations. The interested reader can find the discussion of these conditions in the original papers and in [5] where these papers have been referenced. Here we will restrict our attention to the cases mentioned above.

2.3 Special case $G(1,n) = \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ model

In this case the matrix Z is $(n \times 1)$ and any solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations is given by

$$Z_i \;=\; \frac{P_+^i f}{|P_+^i f|}, \qquad (16)$$

for some $i = 0, \cdots n-1$ and some holomorphic vector f from the set mentioned above. For the map to be from S^2 and not $\mathbb{R}^2$ the components of the vector f have to be ratios of polynomials in x_+. In fact, due to the symmetry (9) they can be given by polynomials in x_+.

Of these solutions those corresponding to $i = 0$ are holomorphic and those corresponding to $i = n - 1$ are antiholomorphic.

Consider now one of these solutions, say, corresponding to a general i for $i \neq 0$ and $i \neq n - 1$. Then its energy density is given by [5]

$$\mathcal{L}(Z_i) \,=\, \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{|P_+^{i+1}f|^2}{|P_+^i f|^2} \,+\, \frac{|P_+^i f|^2}{|P_+^{i-1}f|^2}\right). \tag{17}$$

Let us note that

$$\partial_+\partial_- \ln(|P_+^i f|^2) \,=\, \frac{|P_+^{i+1}f|^2}{|P_+^i f|^2} \,-\, \frac{|P_+^i f|^2}{|P_+^{i-1}f|^2}, \tag{18}$$

which, of course, is due to its topological nature. Hence its easy to check that

$$\mathcal{L}(Z_i) \,=\, \frac{1}{2}\,\partial_+\partial_- \ln\left(|P_+^i f|^2 |P_+^{i-1}f|^4 \cdots |P_+ f|^4 |f|^4\right). \tag{19}$$

Note that, in the holomorphic case ($i = 0$), we have

$$\mathcal{L}(Z_0) \,=\, \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{|P_+ f|^2}{|f|^2} = \frac{1}{2}\,\partial_+\partial_- \ln\left(|f|^2\right). \tag{20}$$

Moreover, a few lines of algebra then shows that this expression is much simpler if we use the formulation involving 'wedge products'; namely we note that

$$P_+^i f \,\sim\, (f \wedge \partial_+ f \wedge \cdots \partial_+^{i-1} f)^\dagger (f \wedge \partial_+ f \wedge \cdots \partial_+^{i-1} f \wedge \partial_+^i f), \tag{21}$$

where $\sim$ differs from $=$ by an overall factor (up to irrelevant constants)

$$\frac{1}{|(f \wedge \partial_+ f \wedge \partial_+^{i-1} f)|^2}. \tag{22}$$

To go further we need to calculate

$$|(f \wedge \partial_+ f \wedge \cdots \partial_+^{i-1} f)^\dagger (f \wedge \partial_+ f \wedge \cdots \partial_+^{i-1} f \wedge \partial_+^i f)|^2. \tag{23}$$

This, as is easy to check, is a product of determinants M_{i+1} and M_i, where

$$M_i \,=\, \det\begin{pmatrix} |f|^2 & f^\dagger \partial_+ f & ... & f^\dagger \partial_+^{i-1} f \\ (\partial_+ f)^\dagger f & |\partial_+ f|^2 & ... & (\partial_+ f)^\dagger \partial_+^{i-1} f \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (\partial_+^{i-1} f)^\dagger f & (\partial_+^{i-1} f)^\dagger \partial_+ f & ... & |\partial_+^{i-1} f|^2 \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} |P_+^k f|^2, \quad M_0 \,=\, 1. \tag{24}$$

However, this is exactly what we need for rewriting $\mathcal{L}$ in a simple way. Using the expressions above it becomes

$$\mathcal{L}(Z_i) \,=\, \frac{1}{2}\,\partial_+\partial_- \ln(M_{i+1} M_i). \tag{25}$$

We thus see that taking $i = 0$, we retrieve the holomorphic case (20).

Next let us consider constant curvature solutions. This implies that we require to have

$$M_{i+1} M_i \propto (1 + |x|^2)^{r_i(1,n)} \tag{26}$$

for which the corresponding curvature $\mathcal{K}$ is given by $\mathcal{K}(Z_i) = \frac{4}{r_i(1,n)}$. In the following, we will determine all possible values of $r_i(1,n)$, where the label i is related to the solutions Z_i

and $(1,n)$ refers to the $G(1,n)$ model. We already know that the only holomorphic solutions with constant curvature in $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ are the Veronese minimal spheres [2, 5].

Let us show that, using the projector formalism, it is easy to determine all the possible values of the corresponding curvatures for non-holomorphic solutions when we talk about non-holomorphic solutions we use this term to denote solutions which are neither holomorphic nor antiholomorphic. We will give a specific relation between constant curvatures of non holomorphic immersions of $G(1,n)$ and of holomorphic immersions in higher dimension $(G(1,N), N > n)$.

Starting from the holomorphic Veronese curve $f^{(n)} : S^2 \to \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$:

$$f^{(n)} = \left(1, \sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}} x_+, \ldots, \sqrt{\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ j \end{pmatrix}} {x_+}^j, \ldots, {x_+}^{n-1}\right)^T , \tag{27}$$

with constant curvature $\mathcal{K}(Z_i) = \frac{4}{n-1}$, we get a set of linearly independent solutions given by $\{\frac{P_+^i f^{(n)}}{|P_+^i f^{(n)}|}, i = 0, \ldots, n-1\}$ following the procedure described above. To this set corresponds a set of orthogonal projectors $P_i(f^{(n)})$ defined as follows:

$$P_i(f^{(n)}) := \frac{P_+^i f^{(n)} \, (P_+^i f^{(n)})^\dagger}{|P_+^i f^{(n)}|^2}, \;\; i = 0, 1, ..., n-1 \, . \tag{28}$$

We have shown in [7] that, for each solution in this set, the curvature $\mathcal{K}$ is related to the following quantity

$$r_i(1,n) = A(n, P_i(f^{(n)})) = (n-1) + 2i(n-1-i). \tag{29}$$

This formula may be easily recovered from the expression of $\mathcal{L}(Z_i)$ given in (17) using the fact that

$$|P_i(f^{(n)})|^2 = \frac{(n-1)!\, i!}{(n-1-i)!}(1+|x|^2)^{n-1-2i}. \tag{30}$$

We see that $r_0(1,n) = r_{n-1}(1,n) = n-1$. This is the minimal value of $r_i(1,n)$ in the set and it corresponds to the largest constant curvature $\mathcal{K} = \frac{4}{n-1}$ for the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic solutions. We also have

$$A(n, P_{n-(i-1)}(f^{(n)})) = A(n, P_i(f^{(n)})), \tag{31}$$

which implies that among the projectors of the set only 'a half of them' give rise to different curvatures. More precisely, for $n = 2p$ we consider only the projectors with $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$, while for $n = 2p+1$, we take $i = 1, \ldots, p$.

Now, it is easy to show that, for $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q < p$,

$$r_{p-q}(1, 2p) \;\; = \;\; r_0(1, 2(p^2 - q(q-1))), \tag{32}$$

$$r_{p-q}(1, 2p+1) \;\; = \;\; r_0(1, 2(p(p+1) - q^2) + 1). \tag{33}$$

This shows that, for the $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ model with n fixed, all possible values of r in the expression of the constant curvature of non-holomorphic solutions are given by

$$r_{pq}^{(n\, even)} \;\; = \;\; 2(p^2 - q(q-1)) - 1, \quad 0 \le q < p \le \frac{n}{2}, \tag{34}$$

$$r_{pq}^{(n\, odd)} \;\; = \;\; 2(p(p+1) - q^2), \quad 0 \le q < p \le \frac{n-1}{2}. \tag{35}$$

We thus get higher bounds for the values of r given by

$$r_{max}^{(n\, even)} = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - 2), \quad r_{max}^{(n\, odd)} = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - 1). \tag{36}$$

Let us illustrate these results by some examples:

For $\mathbb{C}P^2(n=3)$, we get only one non-holomorphic solution corresponding to $P_1(f^{(3)})$ for which $r=4$ (the same value as for $P_0(f^{(5)})$). For $\mathbb{C}P^3(n=4)$, we get one non-holomorphic solution corresponding to $P_1(f^{(4)})$ for which $r=7$ (the same value as for $P_0(f^{(8)})$ and also, by embedding, the preceding one *i.e.* of the $\mathbb{C}P^2$ field with $r=4$. For $\mathbb{C}P^4(n=5)$, we have the embeddings with $r=4,7$ and two additional cases of $r=10,12$ corresponding to the new non-holomorphic solutions of $\mathbb{C}P^4$. For $\mathbb{C}P^5(n=6)$, we have $r=4,7,10,12$ through embeddings and two new values of r, namely, $r=13,17$ corresponding to the new non-holomorphic solutions.

3 Non-holomorphic solutions for $G(m,n)$

As we have said above there are very many non-holomorphic solutions. In section 2 we discussed a class of them obtained by applying the P_+ operator defined in (14). Then if we apply it to a holomorphic vector f_1 several times - we obtain vectors $P_+^i f_1$. In what follows we shall call $f_1 = f^{(n)}$. Any pair of these vectors will give us a solution of $G(2,n)$, any triple a solution of $G(3,n)$ *etc.*

A generic solution Z of $G(m,n)$, made of m normalized independent special vectors taken among the set $\{f^{(n)}, P_+ f^{(n)}, \ldots, P_+^{n-1} f^{(n)}\}$, gives rise to a projector $P = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \alpha_i P_i$, where the α_i take the only values 0 and 1 and P_i acts on $f^{(n)}$ as in (28). We have shown in [7] that, for such a generic solution, the Lagrangian density is explicitly given as

$$\mathcal{L}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)^2 \frac{|P_+^i f^{(n)}|^2}{|P_+^{i-1} f^{(n)}|^2}, \tag{37}$$

where $\alpha_{n-1} = 0$. It may thus be written in the following compact form, using the expression M_i as given in (24),

$$\mathcal{L}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ \partial_- \ln \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i^{(\alpha_{i-1}-\alpha_i)^2}. \tag{38}$$

In particular, if $\alpha_0 = \ldots = \alpha_{m-1} = 1$ and $\alpha_m = \ldots = \alpha_{n-2} = 0$, we get easily

$$\mathcal{L}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ \partial_- \ln M_m, \tag{39}$$

which corresponds to an holomorphic solution of the $G(m,n)$ model as discussed in [1].

In order to get constant curvature solutions of the $G(m,n)$ sigma model, we thus have to require that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} M_i^{(\alpha_{i-1}-\alpha_i)^2} \propto (1+|z|^2)^r. \tag{40}$$

But the M_i's are products of consecutives $|P_+^k f^{(n)}|^2$, which implies that each $P_+^k f^{(n)}$ must be such that

$$|P_+^k f^{(n)}|^2 \propto (1+|z|^2)^{r_k}. \tag{41}$$

For the Veronese sequence with $f^{(n)}$ given as in (27), using (30), we get

$$\partial_+ \partial_- \ln M_i = \frac{i(n-i)}{(1+|z|^2)^2}. \tag{42}$$

Thus, we obtain an explicit form for the Lagrangian density, namely

$$\mathcal{L}(Z) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_+ \partial_- \ln (1+|z|^2)^{r(m,n)}, \quad r(m,n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i(n-i)(\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)^2. \tag{43}$$

Hence, we get a solution of our Grassmannian $G(m,n)$ model of constant curvature $\mathcal{K}$ given by

$$\mathcal{K}(Z) = \frac{4}{r(m,n)}. \tag{44}$$

Note that for the holomorphic solution (39), we get $r_{h,\max}(m,n) = m(n-m)$ as expected (see (8)).

In order to make our discussion more intuitive, let first discuss in detail the $G(2,n)$ model. When we consider the case of $G(2,n)$ we have to distinguish the cases when the two $P_+^l f^{(n)}$ vectors have the corresponding l's which differ by 1 or not. The reason for this is simple: the energy density of the vectors which differ by more than one is purely additive; it is simply a sum of energy densities of the corresponding $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$.

3.1 $G(2,n)$

We take now a solution of $G(2,n)$ of the form

$$Z_{i,j}^{(n)} = \left(\frac{P_+^i f^{(n)}}{|P_+^i f^{(n)}|}, \frac{P_+^j f^{(n)}}{|P_+^j f^{(n)}|} \right), \tag{45}$$

where $f^{(n)}$ is a holomorphic solution.

It is easy to see that the case $j > i+1$ leads to:

$$\mathcal{L}(Z_{i,j}^{(n)}) = \mathcal{L}(P_+^i f^{(n)}) + \mathcal{L}(P_+^j f^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_+\partial_- \ln\left(M_{i+1}M_iM_{j+1}M_j\right) \tag{46}$$

and the constant curvature is given as in (44) with

$$r(2,n) = r_{i,j}(2,n) = r_i(1,n) + r_j(1,n) = 2\left((n-1) + i(n-1-i) + j(n-1-j)\right). \tag{47}$$

Next we consider the case of consecutive projectors, *i.e.* when $j = i+1$. The calculation of the energy gives

$$\mathcal{L}(Z_{i,i+1}^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{|P_+^i f|^2}{|P_+^{i-1} f|^2} + \frac{|P_+^{i+2} f|^2}{|P_+^{i+1} f|^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_+\partial_- \ln\left(M_{i+2}M_i\right) \tag{48}$$

and the constant curvature is given as in (44) with

$$r(2,n) = r_i(2,n) = 2(n-2) + 2i(n-2-i). \tag{49}$$

In the holomorphic case ($i = 0$), formula (48) reduces to expression (39) with $m = 2$ and we have that

$$r_{i,j}(2,n) > r_i(2,n) \geq r_{h,\max}(2,n) = 2(n-2). \tag{50}$$

Indeed, we have $r_{i,j}(2,n) - r_i(2,n) = 2(1 + i + j(n-1-j))$ which is clearly positive and the second inequality is obvious given the explicit expression of $r_i(2,n)$.

Furthermore (47) can be maximized and thus we get a higher (lower) bound for the values of r's (curvature). We have explicitly, for $n > 3$,

$$r_{\frac{n-4}{2},\frac{n}{2}}^{(n\ even)}(2,n) = \frac{1}{2}(2n^2 - 12), \quad r_{\frac{n-3}{2},\frac{n+1}{2}}^{(n\ odd)}(2,n) = \frac{1}{2}(2n^2 - 10). \tag{51}$$

To prove this we note from (47) that the maximal value of r is given by the values of i and j which maximise $i(n-1-i) + j(n-1-j)$. However, for them we have to impose the condition that $j > i+1$. It is clear that the value of i which maximises $i(a-i)$ is given by $i = \frac{a}{2}$. However, i has to be an integer so this value can be taken for n being odd as then $a = n-1$ is even. For n being odd the maximum value is given by the nearest integer *i.e.* for $i = \frac{a\pm1}{2}$.

As i and j have to satisfy the condition $j > i+1$ we note that we have to take $j = i+2$ and place them as close to $\frac{n-1}{2}$ as possible. This, as can be easily checked, gives the values mentioned above.

Note that when we compare the largest values of r obtained from two consecutive projectors with non consecutive ones the consecutive ones have a lower value. Indeed, the largest value of r, when n is even, corresponds to $i = \frac{n-2}{2}$ and then $r_{max} = \frac{n^2-4}{2}$. For n odd the corresponding value is given by $r_{max} = \frac{n^2-5}{2}$ where $i = \frac{n-3}{2}$. Thus the lowest values of the curvature are given by the appropriately chosen two projectors with i and j varying by 2.

At this stage, we could illustrate the results with $n = 3, 4, 5$ to see if we get the results of the preceding papers.

For $n = 3$, we have only $i = 0$ for the consecutive projectors so that $r_0(2,3) = 2$ (solution $Z^{(3)}_{0,1}$). This is in fact the holomorphic solution which corresponds to the Veronese sequence in $\mathbb{C}P^2 \cong G(2,3)$. Note that our results do not include the different immersions. The $r = 4$ case is missing and correspond to the $P_0 + P_2$ projector which is equivalent to the $P_1(f^{(3)})$ case in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ due to the isomorphism $G(2,3) \cong \mathbb{C}P^2$.

For $n = 4$, we have $i = 0, 1, 2$ for the consecutive projectors $(0,1)$, $(1,2)$ and $(i,j) = (0,2)$ for the others. We get $r_0(2,4) = r_2(2,4) = 4$, which correspond to the holomorphic solution $Z^{(4)}_{0,1}$. The non-holomorphic solutions appear in this case and we get $r_1(2,4) = 6$ for $Z^{(4)}_{1,2}$ and $r_{02}(2,4) = 10$ for $Z^{(4)}_{0,2}$.

The solutions $Z^{(4)}_{1,2}$ and $Z^{(4)}_{0,2}$ correspond to the two last solutions presented in theorem B in [8]. The missing solution corresponding to $r = 4$ is the immersion of the $G(2,3)$ model discussed above. Finally, the case $r = 2$ in theorem B is actually the solution corresponding to the direct sum of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}P^1$. In all cases, $\mathcal{K} = \frac{4}{r}$ as mentioned in (7).

For $n = 5$, we have $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ for the consecutive projectors $(0,1)$, $(1,2)$, $(2,3)$ and $(i,j) = (0,2)$, $(i,j) = (0,3)$, $(i,j) = (1,3)$ for the others. The holomorphic solution is $Z^{(5)}_{0,1}$ and we have $r_0(2,5) = r_3(2,5) = 6$ as expected. We get four types of non-holomorphic solutions : $Z^{(5)}_{1,2}$ with $r_1(2,5) = r_2(2,5) = 10$, $Z^{(5)}_{0,2}$ for $r_{02}(2,5) = 16$, $Z^{(5)}_{0,3}$ for $r_{03}(2,5) = 14$and $Z^{(5)}_{1,3}$ for $r_{13}(2,5) = 20$.

3.2 $G(3,n)$

The $G(3,n)$ model is strongly related to the $G(2,n)$ and $G(1,n)$ cases. Indeed, we have to distinguish three distinct cases: three isolated projectors, two consecutive projectors and one isolated projector and three consecutive projectors. Explicitly, we have $(i < j < k)$

$$Z^{(n)}_{i,j,k} = \left(\frac{P^i_+ f^{(n)}}{|P^i_+ f^{(n)}|}, \frac{P^j_+ f^{(n)}}{|P^j_+ f^{(n)}|}, \frac{P^k_+ f^{(n)}}{|P^k_+ f^{(n)}|} \right), \tag{52}$$

for (i,j,k) with $j > i+1$ and $k > j+1$(the first case), $(i,j,k) = (i, i+1, k)$ with $k > i+2$ (the second case) and, finally, $(i,j,k) = (i, i+1, i+2)$ (the third case).

In the first case, we obtain the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}(Z^{(n)}_{i,j,k}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_+\partial_- \ln(M_{i+1}M_iM_{j+1}M_jM_{k+1}M_k), \tag{53}$$

with corresponding r given by

$$r_{ijk}(3,n) = 3(n-1) + 2i(n-1-i) + 2j(n-1-j) + 2k(n-1-k). \tag{54}$$

For the second case,

$$\mathcal{L}(Z^{(n)}_{i,i+1,k}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_+\partial_- \ln(M_{i+2}M_iM_{k+1}M_k), \tag{55}$$

and r given by

$$r_{i,i+1,k} = 3n - 5 + 2i(n-2-i) + 2k(n-1-k). \tag{56}$$

Finally,

$$\mathcal{L}(Z^{(n)}_{i,i+1,i+2}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_+\partial_- \ln(M_{i+3}M_i), \tag{57}$$

for

$$r_{i,i+1,i+2} = 3(n-3) + 2i(n-3-i). \tag{58}$$

Using the fact that

$$r_{ijk}(3,n) > r_{i,i+1,k}(3,n) > r_{i,i+1,i+2}(3,n), \tag{59}$$

we thus get an upper bound on the value of r. Explicitly, we get

$$r^{(n\,even)}_{\frac{n-4}{2},\frac{n}{2},\frac{n+4}{2}}(3,n) = \frac{1}{2}(3n^2-38), \quad r^{(n\,odd)}_{\frac{n-5}{2},\frac{n-1}{2},\frac{n+3}{2}}(3,n) = \frac{1}{2}(3n^2-35). \tag{60}$$

This can be easily generalized to the $G(m,n)$ model knowing the Lagrangian densities of the different solutions for $G(k,n)$ for $k < m$. Only one case is missing, the case were we have the sum of m consecutive projectors. In this case, the Lagrangian density is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(Z^{(n)}_{i,i+1,\cdots,i+m-1}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_+\partial_- \ln(M_{i+m}M_i), \tag{61}$$

with, using formula (42),

$$r_{i,i+1,\cdots,i+m-1}(m,n) = m(n-m) + 2i(n-m-i). \tag{62}$$

We see that for $i = 0$, we retrieve the r corresponding to the holomorphic solution namely $r_{0,\cdots,m-1} = m(n-m)$. We can get upper bounds. Indeed, we get, for $n-m$ even, a maximum value $r_{i,i+1,\cdots,i+m-1}(m,n) = \frac{1}{2}(n^2-m^2)$ for $i = \frac{n-m}{2}$. For the odd case, we get the maximum value $r_{i,i+1,\cdots,i+m-1}(m,n) = \frac{1}{2}(n^2-m^2-1)$ for $i = \frac{n-m-1}{2}$.

In the $G(m,n)$ model, we can get upper bounds for the different values of r. Indeed, one can prove that

$$r^{(n\,even)}_{max}(m,n) = \frac{1}{2}\left(mn^2 - \frac{1}{3}m(4m^2+2)\right), \quad r^{(n\,odd)}_{max}(m,n) = \frac{1}{2}\left(mn^2 - \frac{1}{3}m(4m^2-1)\right). \tag{63}$$

4 Further Comments and Conclusions

In this paper we have generalised the results of [1] to other holomorphic immersions of S^2 into Grassmanians and also to some nonholomorphic immersions. Some of these results were obtained some time ago (see the references in [5]) but at that time the emphasis was on different aspects of this problem. Given the mathematical interest in S^2 immersions into Grassmanians [8] we thought it worthwhile to look at those 'older' expressions and rederive them in a new setting. In particular one can use them with ease to check whether some specific immersions have a constant curvature or not (see our work in [1]). Thus we could easily generalise the discussion in [1] to the immersions into other Grassmannians. This problem is currently under investigation.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported in part by supported by research grants from NSERC of Canada. LD also acknowledge a FQRNT fellowship. Laurent Delisle and Véronique Hussin would like to thanks the department of mathematical sciences of the University of Durham for its hospitality during different stages of the present work.

References

[1] L. Delisle, V. Hussin and W. J. Zakrzewski, " Constant curvature solutions of Grassmannian sigma models: (1) Holomorphic solutions", ArXiv: 1204.0545 (2012).

[2] J. Bolton, G. R. Jensen, M. Rigoli and L.M. Woodward, "On conformal minimal immersions of S^2 into $\mathbb{C}P^n$", *Math. Ann.* **279** 599-620 (1988).

[3] see e.g. A.M. Din and W.J. Zakrzewski, " Further properties of classical solutions in grassmanian σ models", *Nucl. Phys.* **B 237**, 461-476 (1984); F.E. Burstall and J.C. Wood, "The construction of harmonic maps into complex Grassmannians", *J. Diff. Geom.* **23**, 255-298, (1986).

[4] M. Svensson and J.C. Wood," Filtrations, factorizations and explicit formulae for harmoinc maps", *Commun. Math. Phys.* **310** 99–134 (2010); A. Bahy-El-Dien and J.C. Wood, "The explicit construction of all harmonic two-sphere in $G_2(R^n)$", *J. Reine u. Angew. Maths.* **398** 36-66 (1989); X. Jiao and J. Peng, "Pseudo-holomorphic curves in complex Grassmannian manifolds", *Transaction of the American Mathematical Society* **355**, 3715–3726 (2003); J. Fei, X. Jiao and X. Xu, "On conformal 2-spheres in complex Grassmann manifold $G(2, n)$", *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **121**, 181–199 (2011).

[5] W.J. Zakrzewski, *Low Dimensional Sigma Models* (Hilger, Bristol, 1989).

[6] A.J. MacFarlane "Generalisation of σ-models and $\mathbb{C}P^N$ models, and Instantons", *Phys. Lett.* **82 B** 239-241 (1979).

[7] V. Hussin, İ. Yurduşen and W. J. Zakrzewski "Canonical surfaces associated with projectors in Grassmannian sigma models", *J. Math. Phys.* **51** 103509-1-15 (2010).

[8] Zhen-Qi Li, Zhu-Huan Yu: "Constant curved minimal 2-spheres in $G(2, 4)$", *Manuscripta Math.* **100** 305-316 (1999)